

**Community Resilience Panel:
Social & Economic Standing Committee Meeting**

MEETING DATE: August 8, 2016
TIME: 1:00 – 2:00 PM EDT
LOCATION: By Conference Call
ISSUE DATE: September 16, 2016

ATTENDEES:

Attendee	Affiliation
John Plodinec (Vice Chair)	Community and Regional Resilience Institute
Ann Terranova (Secretary)	AECOM
David Mizzen	ARA
Debra Ballen	IBHS
Brett Barclay	Independent Consultant
Joshua Behr, PhD	Old Dominion University, Virginia Modeling, Analysis and Simulation Center
Donna Boyce	Solix
Jeff Braun	Fort Bend County (Texas) Emergency Management Coordinator
David Butry	NIST
Greg Cade	National Fire Protection Association
Ann Goodman, PhD	City University of New York
George Huff	The Continuity Project
Jan Opper	Opper Strategies & Solutions LLC
Richard Pearlson	Rap/Sat
Liesel Ritchie	University of Colorado Natural Hazards Center
Heather Rosenburg	US Green Building Council, Los Angeles Chapter
Steve Rushen	DHS
Jim Schwab	American Planning Association, Hazards Planning Center
Don Scott	PCS Structural Solutions

DISTRIBUTION: Attendees and Social & Economic Standing Committee

NOTES BY: Ann Terranova, AECOM

1. Roll Call

Please refer to the list of attendees. If you attended and your name is not on the list, please advise the committee secretary (Ann Terranova) to have your name added.

2. Welcome and Introductions

- John Plodinec stated that through the coordinating committee meeting, he became aware of the Buildings and Facilities (B&F) Committee efforts. Don Scott (B&F Chair) indicated the B&F

group is large and most participants have not been through the six steps. They have formed six scenario teams aligned with the following communities and disaster types, and are stepping through the 6-step process outlined in the Community Resilience Planning Guide.

Disaster/Community Scenarios

Tornado - Moore, OK
Earthquake/tsunami - Seaside, OR
Hurricane - Palm Coast, FL
Coastal inundation - Roanoke Island, NC
Flooding - Warsaw, IL
Wildfire/drought - Oceanside, CA

- The B&F Committee has a call scheduled for Wednesday (August 10, 2016). Flood and seismic scenario teams have developed report-outs. The flood scenario is the most fully developed of those reports. Don will send that report to John.
 - The buildings and facilities committee developed a template to assist with the exercise that capture information aligned with the 6-step process, focusing on buildings and facilities. They are not looking at Social and Economic (S&E) considerations. Don indicated they welcome input on these communities to enable a more holistic look (with other resilience sectors).
 - Don indicated the communities they reached out to have been very responsive. He feels his team's efforts have provided good insights and they plan to wrap this effort up during the September meeting in Ft. Collins and make recommendations to the Panel for consideration.
- John talked at length with Ed MacMullan who is supportive of effort; he saw a lot of advantages of this process providing tangible points (in implementing the Community Resilience Planning Guide) from an S&E perspective.
- From Jim Schwab's perspective, the same people doing response are not the same as those doing recovery. There is a transition from one to another. Also, recovery planning is not consistent across the country. Florida did a long-term community recovery plan that originated as a Florida planning mandate (downgraded to encouragement).
- Debra Ballen asked a clarifying question regarding what the B&F Committee is doing and how they are executing the work, e.g., are they doing this more as a tabletop exercise? John said they are gathering information for each scenario, including talking directly with community representatives and further discussing where they are in the process. Liesel Ritchie said they likely are engaging in Step 2 and moving into Step 3.
- Jan Opper noted it's good to work off of scenarios and agreed they are working on Steps 2 and 3. He also mentioned the need to look at the recovery planning process and how long it takes (as part of this exercise).
- Jim Schwab likes bringing these two things together. Simply looking at buildings and how they stand up to disasters is one thing. From a recovery planning perspective it's important to understand community financing, economic feasibility, etc. and what the governmental capacities are to take on recovery. By using the scenarios and the nature of the disaster to begin with, it helps to bring more clarity to the process with more defined outcomes.

- Jan Opper asserted that often planning gets ahead of the financing, and this needs to be addressed.
- Debra Ballen asked whether we have the ability as a group to add in the S&E element for each of the scenarios. She suggested we develop a template/structure first that could be applied as we break into groups to support the scenarios.
- Regarding the three S&E work groups (Inventory, Economics, and Whole Community), the group discussed the ability to still use them to inform the process of supporting the B&F scenarios (e.g., Group 1 provides information, etc.).
- Brett Barclay liked the idea of a template, but needed clarification regarding whether it was one template or three separate ones (reflecting each of the S&E work groups). The group discussed whether it should be a single template (to be able to ask questions consistently across scenarios). The idea is to develop this template prior to Ft. Collins; then finalize it and make decisions about moving forward at the quarterly meeting.
- A question/observation was made regarding the capacity of people to get things done prior to Ft. Collins. John has the template provided by Don and would be willing to work with a few people to put a draft together and provide it to the S&E Committee for review/comment. Brett Barclay, Liesel Ritchie, and Donna Boyce volunteered to assist with pulling the template together, with a target to complete it by the end of August for distribution to the S&E Committee for review and comment.
- A question was asked regarding participation by the S&E Committee at the Ft. Collins meeting. A number of individuals indicated they will not attend. It will be important to have a follow-up call afterwards.
- Liesel indicated this is going to be an extremely important exercise; working with communities directly in applying the Guide is not being done as much as it should up to this point.

Actions:

1. John Plodinec to send B&F flood scenario group report to S&E Committee.
2. John Plodinec to send template used by Don's group to the smaller template working group (Brett, Liesel, Donna).
3. Template working group to meet and prepare draft template for distribution to S&E Committee.

The call adjourned at approximately 2:50 pm EDT.