

Community Resilience Panel: Energy Standing Committee Meeting

MEETING DATE: March 27, 2017
TIME: 3:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. EDT
LOCATION: Conference Call
ISSUE DATE: April 28, 2017

ATTENDEES:

Attendee	Affiliation
Leon Kempner (Chair)	Bonneville Power Administration
Ronda Mosley (Secretary)	PTI
Brett Barclay	Liaison with Social Economic Committee
Steve Cauffman	NIST
Floyd DeChamps	Liaison with Data Metrics and Tools Committee
Stuart McCafferty	Hitachi Corporation
David Michel	CA Energy Commission
David Mizzen	ARA

DISTRIBUTION: Attendees and Energy Standing Committee
NOTES BY: Ronda Mosley, PTI

1. Welcome

Leon Kempner (Chair) opened the meeting, conducted a quick roll call, and reviewed the planned agenda.

2. Overview of face-to-face meeting in Miami

Although the meeting was lightly attended from our committee perspective, the general session presentations were outstanding. It is good to hear from and to connect with local governments to hear more about their concerns.

During our committee meeting, we spent time on gap identification and identifying potential solutions for those gaps. Our first priority, as a committee, is finding solutions for gaps and perhaps finding financial resources to implement these solutions.

Our second priority is to help populate the Resilience Knowledge Database (RKB) with both documents and solutions. Thus far, our committee has proposed three documents to be included in the RKB.

Brett – There were excellent conversations during the Miami meeting. Brent is pleased to be part of the Energy Committee as a liaison from the Social, Economic Committee. It is a great idea for the committees to share ideas, solutions and engaging with one another.

Floyd – The Miami meeting was Floyd’s first in-person meeting and he thought it was an excellent meeting. Floyd thought the committees needed more time to meet because so much work is accomplished within committee meetings. The open meetings provided excellent perspectives from the local level.

Leon – Thank you to Brent and Floyd for joining our committee. It is great that the other committees understand the importance of energy. Energy is the underpinning for everything in a city or county. We need to examine the gaps that other committees see within the energy sector.

3. Taxonomy for Documents Evaluation

We have reviewed and provided commentary to the Panel regarding their proposed document evaluation taxonomy. Right now, we are expanding our internal taxonomy document based on what we have gleaned from the Water/Wastewater Committee. We are currently working on the evaluation of five additional documents for inclusion in the RKB. We have three documents submitted thus far.

4. Keyword Search

Leon asked his staff to assist our document identification efforts by looking for documents under keywords. Please add to this list of keywords that we have already identified. Leon will send this list to his staff for research help.

KEYWORDS	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Local government energy planning• Local government resilience• Energy planning• Community planning• Utilities(y) resilience plans• Emergency planning• Emergency response• Energy emergency preparedness• Oil• Natural gas	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Renewable energy• Electricity• Energy disruptions• Energy emergencies• Climate change and energy and resilience• Energy resilience• State energy assurance• Community energy resilience• Disaster planning

5. Gap Identification

We need to generate a list of identified gaps and start, as a committee, to start addressing these gaps. Perhaps we can do this in a white paper. We might be able to address some of these gaps internally and we may need some help from external sources.

6. Adjournment

There was no other business and the meeting adjourned at approximately 4:00 PM EDT.